From: Stan Van De Wetering

To: Ron Gouguet; Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; jeff.baker@grandronde.org; Robert.Neely@noaa.gov; Eric

Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Billy Barquin; Chris Thompson; Robert Kentta

**Subject:** Re: Issues with termination of Oct ammocoete sampling

**Date:** 10/12/2006 09:12 AM

Ron:

Thank you for addressing my comments. Again, my bigger concern is how these limited distribution data get interpreted and whether the tribes feels we truely met the sampling obligation that was laid out.

## (b) (6)

Stan

```
Original Message --
 ---- Original Message ----
From: "Ron Gouguet" <Ron.Gouguet@noaa.gov>
To: "Stan Van De Wetering" <stanv@ctsi.nsn.us>
Cc: <Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov>; "Chris Thompson"
<chris.thompson@eiltd.net>; <Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov>;
<jeff.baker@grandronde.org>; <Robert.Neely@noaa.gov>; "tom downey"
<tomd@ctsi.nsn.us>; "Billy Barquin" <BBarquin@hk-law.com>; "Jeremy Buck"
<ieremv bluck@fws gov>
 clondwetts1.nsn.us/ Billy Barquin \( \text{SBarquinenk-law.com/, berouse.} \)
cycleremy_buck@fws.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 10, 2006 12:17 PM
Subject: Re: Issues with termination of Oct ammocoete sampling
 > Thanks Stan.
> I think at least we can get the first 'semi' - valid estimate of the '
> whole body hazardous substance risk' for the ammocoetes - we got a lot
> more ammocoetes total than the 10 we had & they do exist in the ISA at
> even this time of year, so they exposed in the ISDA to what ever they
> are exposed to. The laboratory toxicity calibration (with wild caught
> Pacific ammocoetes) will give us at least a better first order standard
> for comparison that should give our tissue TRV HQs at least first order
> validity. The risk estimate will have an associated degree of
> uncertainty that may be acceptable if we consider other risk assessment,
> management/clean-up decisions all in concert.
       What I think is the question immediately at hand is 'how do we decide to stop sampling in the ISA, particularly (I say...) in RM \sim 5-10. We did get a fuzzy glimpse on a snapshot of their spatial distribution at a particular time. We got something. It's useful, but not at all great. It's possible we may need to better refine our assessment of exposure and, ultimately, risk to these endpoints before a decision is
  > possible.
 Due to spatially & temporally concurrent industrialization, port

modifications, harbor operations, or hazardous substance exposure, we

can't be sure what reason low catch rates were achieved in this area.

As you point out, seasonally affected water quality, velocity, habitat

utility are changed seasonally and interannually thus affecting the

distribution & abundance of particular receptors (e.g., ammocoetes...).
 > WHen you where out there with 'em I suspect you felt like you were
> searching for a relict suitable habitat 'needle' in the Portland Harbor
> haystack (i.e., the "secret" lamprey spots) & possibly at the wrong
> time. oh well, we tried...
 > I think we need to carefully reconsider the list of compounds and

> analytes we need to measure in the available sample. Look at the idea

> that maybe by dropping certain ones we can eek out a couple of samples

> from the mass we have been able to scrape out of the mid-ISA. Some

> sort information optimization from the available samples idea...
       I'd for now propose that we try to get a few more of the biggish fish out of the OSM/below multinomah channel are (the 4-7 area) to complete a 'sample' thru 1500 tomorrow. If you get there, use the remaining time to continue to scour the 5-10 area (NOT AT PREDETERMINED LOCATIONS BUT WHERE ERIC OR DOC SAYS TO SAMPLE (with Stan's advice in mind)).
 Ideally we get to: a lower ISA sample (\simOSM), a 5-11 sample and 2 samples from 15-ish. Please!!!!
 > Finally. we may need to find a way to sample with this rig again in the > spring as Stan recommends (I think, & maybe summer too...) but that > might be a intra-government cooperative effort parallel to the risk > assessment or addition 'uncertainty reduction driven' sampling for the
       RI/ERA ...
 > Stan Van De Wetering wrote:
       > Eric:
 >> I wanted to raise some concerns re ending this falls ammocoete sampling
>> sooner than later. I only have a few minutes so I will try to be as
 brief
         > and direct as possible. First I want to say I was very impressed with
        > sampling crew and all the effort they are putting forth. They are a top
```

```
> > notch group. I spent five or so hours with them on Monday. As I
suspected :
>> was of no real help as far as finding the "secret" lamprey spots. But
i t
   > was very helpful to see what Thai Do and crew were finding and where
they
      were finding it.
   > As I have mentioned in past meetings I feel it is important to keep in
     habitat availability in relation to the temporal scale. What we have observed in not so large coastal rivers is as follows:
>> 1) During the winter season your optimal velocity habitats are least >> available and found on the stream's margins. This season of course is
the
     season that the river's overall morphology is set up based on various
>> available during storm events.
>> 2) During the spring season or moderate flow season, you normally
>> the greatest diversity in habs and or velocities. This allows for more >> "optimal" velocity hab availability overall. This allows for more
access to
>> > a greater range of substrate types during a period when more optimal
> > microbial habitat is available as well (based on our limited research).
>> 3) During the summer or low water season you have just the opposite
>> setting. Most of your winter high velocity habitats are now "dead"
> > Most of your spring optimal velocity habitats are not available. Those > > substrates that were associated with the storm event that set up the
river's
>>> morphology are likely still there but the optimal spring velocities,
feeding
>> lanes and microbial production etc have shifted significantly - in a >> negative direction. The optimal velocity habs are as limited as during
> > winter season.
     So the point here is that it can be more difficult to find ammocoetes
>> the low flow period because the patchiness of habitat and in turn amms
>> increases. Our coastal summer data show this very well. We have
>> experienced sites where we move from a single plot in a sandy substrate
>> has a high level of microbial activity and several hundred ammocoetes
per
> > square meter to an adjacent plot ( two feet over) with very limited > > microbial activity and very similar substrate and we see less than a few > > ammocoetes. Again this idea of patchiness and the ability to work that
into
> > a sampling design is difficult.
>> So my Monday with the crew suggested to me that we have some patchiness
>> occurring in the harbor similar to other sites we have worked. This
idea is
      supported by the results from Monday's work. Thai Do's crew took us
back to
> > a particular polygon that had very little sampling success. Thai chose
to
>> return to a single plot where a single (?) ammocoete had been found.
>> spent the next four hours working in that immediate plot "zone" if you
will.
^{\circ} > As you know we found several other amms there and on a pretty consistent > > level - 2 or so per run. As we drifted out of that immediate plot zone
>> lost our ability to collect amms again. Again to me this supports this
> > patchiness presence.
>>> > I think it would be of great use to the group to have Thai Do's crew
spend
   > some more time returning to the sites they have worked already and
explore
      those specific plots where one or two amms were previously captured. Essentially repeat Monday's approach. If there is some consistency with catching amms in small patches then we can use those small patch data to better expand the data for the whole project. That is we could better expand fall rearing numbers per polygon.
```

> > There are a few other issues I want to make sure don't leave everyone's > > minds. First "we" seem to be assuming that when we catch only one amm

```
>> sample plot that the result is an number that is abnormally low for the
>> Willamette River as a whole - considering river mile zero to say 90. We
>> have no leg to stand on here. We are not planning any upstream sampling
to
> > assist with a validation process. As well I am not aware of any general
> > validation attempts or plans for. I think we need to keep that in mind
if
   > we all have plans to later argue over "real" pop estimate numbers for
the
> > harbor. This could be accomplished without a huge burden being
 incurred.
>> There is also the issue of what appears to be an improvement in sampling >> methods that Thai and crew developed. This involves moving the sampler
to
    > three local plots duriing the three shock period. This appears to be
>> productive and it makes sense relative to our experiences on the coast.
> > OK thats it for me today!
     > Thanks again to Thai Do and crew! And thanks to everyone else for
allowing
> > me to get out for a day. I really appreciate it.
> > Stan van
       ---- Original Message ----
From: <Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov>
To: "Chris Thompson" <chris.thompson@EILTD.net>
Cc: <anderson.jim@deq.state.or.us>; "Aron Borok" <aron.borok@EILTD.net>;
<AudieHuber@ctuir.com>; <Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov>;
> > <Lisa.Estensen@grandronge.org>; <Cora.Lorr@epaulair.epa.gov>;
> > <Ader.Mark@epamail.epa.gov>; "MCCLINCY Matt"
> > <MCCLINCY.Matt@deq.state.or.us>; "OMEALY Mikell"
> > <OMEALY.Mikell@deq.state.or.us>; "Parker Wittman"
> > <parker.wittman@EILTD.net>; "Jennifer L Peterson"
> <PETERSON.Jenn@deq.state.or.us>; "POULSEN Mike"
> > <POULSEN.Mike@deq.state.or.us>; <Fuentes.Rene@epamail.epa.gov>;
> > <rgensemer@parametrix.com>; <Robert.Neely@noaa.gov>;
 <Ron.Gouguet@noaa.gov>;
       <rose@yakama.com>; <Sheldrake.Sean@epamail.epa.gov>; "Stan
Vandewetering"
validawetering
> > stanv@ctsi.nsn.us>; "Tom Downey" <tomd@ctsi.nsn.us>
> > Sent: Friday, October 06, 2006 2:25 PM
> > Subject: RE: Lamprey Toxicity Testing
>>> Chris, et al - I have been in contact with both Stan and Helle Anderson
>>> regarding the lamprey toxicity testing. As you indicate, because Stan
>>> will be in Portland, we will not be in a position to begin collection
of
>>> lamprey ammocoetes next week. My hope is that I will receive
everyone '
         comments today and get comments to the LWG by October 10th. We may
   >>
then
>>> be a position to collect the ammocoetes for the toxicity testing the >>> week of October 16th. I am very concerned about the short turnaround
> >> are dealing with here. However, Stan has informed me that once we get
>>> large rain event in the coast range, if will be very difficult to get >>> the ammocoetes we need for the toxicity testing this year.
> >>
   >> My current solution is to focus our comments on the lamprey ammocoete
   >> My current solution is to focus our comments on the lamprey ammocoete
>> collection and holding. Comments on the testing will be considered
>> preliminary until we receive and review the QAPP (expected October
>> 13th). If we are able to collect the ammocoetes the week of the 16th,
>> toxicity testing would not begin until the week of November 6th. This
>> gives us a little more time to resolve any issues associated with the
>> toxicity testing. In addition, the toxicity testing to take place this
>> fall is limited to the Round 1 rangefinding program. The definitive
>> toxicity testing will take place in the spring. This also gives us
>> perhaps a little more flexibility.
> >>
> >>
   >> Eric
> >>
                                   Chris Thompson
                                    <chris.thompson@
                                   EILTD.net>
                                                                                                                                              Тο
```

```
Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Curt Black/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Dana
                                              10/06/2006 01:18
     >>
>>
                                                                                                            Davoli/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, GAINER
Tom <GAINER.Tom@deq.state.or.us>,
     >>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
                                                                                                             Gina
                                                                                                            Grepo-Grove/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, jeff.baker@grandronde.org,
                                                                                                             Jennifer L Peterson
<PETERSON.Jenn@deq.state.or.us>,
                                                                                                            jeremy_buck@fws.gov,
anderson.jim@deq.state.or.us, Joe
Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Judy
     >>
>>
                                                                                                            Smith/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Kathryn.Toepel@state.or.us,
Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
     >>
>>
     >>
                                                                                                             Lisa.Estensen@grandronde.org,
                                                                                                            MCCLINCY Matt
<MCCLINCY.Matt@deq.state.or.us>,
      >>
                                                                                                            <MCCLINCY.Matt@deq.state.or.us>,
OMEALY.Mikell
<OMEALY.Mikell@deq.state.or.us>,
howp@critfc.org, POULSEN Mike
<POULSEN.Mike@deq.state.or.us>,
Rene Fuentes/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Pabent Mody@managers
     >>
>>
     >>
     >>
                                                                                                            Robert.Neely@noaa.gov, Sear
Sheldrake/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
                                                                                                             tomd@ctsi.nsn.us, Parker Wittman
<parker.wittman@EILTD.net>,
      >>
                                                                                                             csmith@parametrix.com,
     >>
>>
                                                                                                             rgensemer@parametrix.com,
                                                                                                             rose@yakama.com,
     >>
                                                                                                             erin.madden@gmail.com,
                                                                                                             Ron.Gouguet@noaa.gov, Cinde
     >>
                                                                                                             Donoghue
                                                                                                             <cinde.donoghue@EILTD.net>
                                                                                                              jay.field@noaa.gov, Jennifer
     >>
>>
                                                                                                             Arthur
                                                                                                             <jennifer.arthur@EILTD.net>, Aron
     >>
>>
                                                                                                            Borok <aron.borok@EILTD.net>,
Lori Cora/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark
     >>
                                                                                                             Ader/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
     >>
                                                                                                             Lisa.Bluelake@grandronde.org,
                                                                                                             (h)(6)
AudieHuber@ctuir.com, Jeff Baker
     >>
>>
                                                                                                             <Jeff.Baker@grandronde.org>,
                                                                                                             cunninghame@gorge.net,
     >>
>>
                                                                                                             stanv@ctsi.nsn.us
                                                                                                                                                                             Subject
     >>
                                                                                                            RE: Lamprey Toxicity Testing
     >>
     >>
>>
     >>
>>
     >>
      >> Hi All,
    >>
      >> Note that Eric Blischke's 2 Oct e-mail (below) states that collection
\circ f
>>> ammocoetes for the lab toxicity testing will begin on Oct 9, and that
>>> lab testing will begin 2-3 weeks after that. That time frame is no
>>> longer accurate. Comments on the draft FSP for this testing are not
due
due

> >> until today (6 October), and a reasonable period of time is necessary

> >> for all parties to review and discuss everyone's comments, and revise

> >> the draft FSP if need be. Further, Stan Van de Wetering is helping

> >> collect ammocoetes in the ISA on 9 October as part of the ongoing

> >> ammocoete collection in the ISA. Also, the earliest that Windward is

> >> prepared to begin collecting ammocoetes for toxicity testing is 16

> >> October - if Stan is available at that time (I do not know Stan's

> availability)
            availability).
    >> Cheers,
    >> Chris
     >>
                 ----Original Message---
     >> From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
            From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov] Sent: Monday, October 02, 2006 5:00 PM
To: Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; Black.Curt@epamail.epa.gov; Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov; GAINER Tom; Grepo-Grove.Gina@epamail.epa.gov; jeff.baker@grandronde.org; Jennifer L Peterson; jeremy_buck@fws.gov; anderson.jim@deq.state.or.us; Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov; Smith.Judy@epamail.epa.gov; Kathryn.Toepel@state.or.us; Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov; Lisa.Estensen@grandronde.org; MCCLINCY Matt; OMEALY Mikell; howp@critfc.org; POULSEN Mike; Fuentes.Rene@epamail.epa.gov; @ctsi.nsn.us;
    >>
 tomd@ctsi.nsn.us;
tomagects1.nsn.us,

>>> Parker Wittman; csmith@parametrix.com; rgensemer@parametrix.com;

>>> rose@yakama.com; erin.madden@gmail.com; Ron.Gouguet@noaa.gov; Cinde

>>> Donoghue; jay.field@noaa.gov; Jennifer Arthur; Chris Thompson; Aron

>>> Borok; Cora.Lori@epamail.epa.gov; Ader.Mark@epamail.epa.gov

>>> Subject: Lamprey Toxicity Testing
```